

# Fannie Mae Benchmark CPR<sup>™</sup> Commentary – Oct 2020

### **30 Year Benchmark CPR**



Exhibit 1

The September 30-year Benchmark CPR3 (BCPR3) for the Fannie Mae cohort declined for the third straight month, coming in at 34.5 CPR, a 1.4% decrease from the 35.0 CPR observed in August. While the 3-Month benchmark showed a modest slowdown, its most recent one-month component increased 3.2% (from 33.9 to 35.0) as illustrated in Table 1a. There continues to be significant and persistent rate incentive in the market; using a 2.88% driving 30-year mortgage rate, we estimate that 64% of all mortgages are at least 50 bps in the money, and this rises above 78% when looking at conventional mortgages only. Prepayment speeds should remain elevated as the industry continues to work thorough this potential supply despite the well-publicized capacity constraints faced by originators.

#### Table 1a: Components of Fannie Mae's 30 Year Aug and Sep BCPR Results

30 Yr FNM Cohort			BCPR3 Co	mponents	
<b>Reporting Period</b>	BCPR3	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
Aug 2020	35.0	36.7	34.5	33.9	
Sep 2020	34.5		34.5	33.9	35.0

#### Table 1b: Components of Fannie Mae's 15 Year Aug and Sep BCPR Results

15 Yr FNM Cohort			BCPR3 Co	mponents	
<b>Reporting Period</b>	BCPR3	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
Aug 2020	28.9	30.19	29.0	27.8	
Sep 2020	28.3		29.0	27.8	28.1





### **15 Year Benchmark CPR**

Similar to the 30-year metric, the Fannie Mae Cohort 15-year Benchmark CPR3 (BCPR3) also slowed down marginally in September to 28.3 CPR from the prior month's 28.9 CPR. However, this follows 3 months of relatively stable prints. Having said that, the September 1-month component speed increased modestly to 28.1 CPR from 27.8 CPR in August. Looking further back, the July component printed at 29.0 CPR. See Table 1b for the one-month components of FNM cohort 15-year BCPR3

## Data Dynamics®



### **Observations in the Coupon Stack and Original Loan Size Buckets**

This month, we utilize the heatmap feature in the Benchmark CPR report on Data Dynamics to highlight how the different coupons behaved during the modest slowdown observed at the overall cohort level over the past three months. Exhibit 3 depicts the absolute CPRs for the coupon stack of the Fannie 30yr cohort. Hovering over the relevant cells in the heatmap (on Data Dynamics) will allow a user to observe the changes in speeds over time. We observe that the 2.5 & 3.0 coupons experienced a noticeable pickup in speeds month-over-month and to some degree, so did the 2.0s. This dynamic is consistent with the performance of the entire universe of 30yr UMBS observed by market participants. Conversely, the higher coupons from 3.5 on up were stable or even slower on the BCPR metric. This indicates that the higher coupons included in the BCPR population did not experience the same pace of refinancing as the entire population of higher coupons. In other words, the remaining loans in high coupon pools issued more than 24 months ago are likely prepaying faster than the loans in high coupon pools issued in the last 24 months. For a more detailed explanation on the methodology employed in the BCPR3 calculation, please see the Resources section on Page 5.

Please reference <u>August commentary</u> for a brief overview on the Benchmark CPR heatmap, a new feature offered as part of the Benchmark CPR report on Data Dynamics.

Рори	lation cut	Measure		matrix is the entity								В	CPR3	8 Res	ult						UF	в %			_
Co	upon 🗸	Absolute 💙	<ul> <li>Absolute UPB r total book</li> </ul>	matrix highlights ho	ow much U	PB a popula	tion cut cor	ntributes		12	19 19	8 8	5¢	30 28	3 3	38 38	834	N 4	9 00	9 P	16	20 20	2 2	28 28	8
Cut	Orig Amount (\$ MM)	Current UPB (\$ MM)	Loan Count	Avg OLS	WAC	WALA	FICO	LTV	DTI	Oct 19 Nov 19		Jan 20	Mar 20	Apr 20	May 20	Jul 20	Aug 20 Sep 20	Oct 19	Dec 19	Jan 20	Feb 20 Mar 20	Apr 20	May 20	Jul 20	Aug 20
1.5	\$86.32	2 \$85.40	247	\$349,478.00	2.589	З	3 775	70	30																
2.0	\$72,916.33	7 \$71,470.63	216,868	\$333,132.00	3.008	4	1 770	71	32																
2.5	\$292,578.4	5 \$270,986.68	879,771	\$315,784.00	3.416	e	5 761	74	34																
3.0	\$319,602.84	4 \$253,873.57	968,882	\$279,946.00	3.865	11	1 752	77	36																
3.5	\$171,046.04	4 \$110,981.71	497,466	\$251,689.00	4.356	14	1 739	80	37																
4.0	\$187,479.6	1 \$94,586.27	448,992	\$248,622.00	4.779	20	736	80	38																
4.5	\$85,295.3	5 \$47,594.65	273,322	\$202,443.00	5.209	21	719	80	39																
5.0	\$25,446.48	8 \$15,316.97	97,141	\$180,752.00	5.735	21	1 702	81	39																
5.5	\$2,328.84	4 \$1,427.71	10,318	\$157,269.00	6.207	22	2 697	86	40																
6.0	\$345.19	9 \$206.42	1,153	\$198,611.00	6.468	26	697	92	41																
7.0	\$2.08	8 \$1.42	14	\$138,733.00	7.349	172	2 717	97	40																



Next, we investigate to determine if any loan size buckets buck the trend of the slowing speeds observed in the overall 30-year BCPR cohort. The heatmap in Exhibit 4 indicates all loan size buckets maintained a similar speed or slowed versus last month except for the 484k+ bucket, which increased from 37.5 CPR to 39.2 CPR. This appears to suggest that either the borrowers in this bucket, the lending community at large, or a combination of both remain motivated to engage on these larger loans resulting in more frequent refinances versus other loan size buckets. Note from Exhibit 4 that the WALA on the 484k+ bucket is the lowest of the other loan size buckets and is growing in concentration.

### Data Dynamics<sup>®</sup>



Popula	tion cut	Measure		Absolute BCPR matrix is the entity's BCPR for a particular population cut     Absolute UPB matrix highlights how much UPB a population cut contributes to their											Resul	t				UPB %							
Avg C	ols 👻	Absolute 💙	<ul> <li>Absolute UPB total book</li> </ul>	matrix highlights h	ow much l	IPB a popula	tion cut co	ntributes	to their	12	4 9 9	2 2	8 8 8	58 28	8 2	* %	2 22 2	841	۰ e	40	0 ș	2 12 3	<u>e 19</u> :	2 2	ង ង	52 52	8
Cut	Orig Amount (\$ MM)	Current UPB (\$ MM)	Loan Count	Avg OLS	WAC	WALA	FICO	LTV	DTI	Oct 19		Jan 20		Mar 20	Apr 20 May 20	Jun 20	Jul 20	Aug 20 Sen 20	Oct 19	Nov 19	Dec 19	Jan 20	Mar 20	Apr 20		Jun 20	
85k	\$10,899.56	\$9,318.99	144,494	\$66,936.00	4.620	16	736	70	34	\$																	
110k	\$19,094.22	\$16,011.69	166,516	\$99,199.00	4.421	14	740	71	34	4																	
125k	\$18,378.28	\$15,340.73	133,225	\$118,482.00	4.332	14	741	75	35	5																	
150k	\$41,288.64	\$34,155.18	253,058	\$138,725.00	4.249	13	743	76	35	5																	
175k	\$52,000.82	\$42,340.51	266,053	\$163,307.00	4.182	13	744	77	35	5																	
200k	\$66,527.48	\$53,206.89	289,385	\$188,680.00	4.114	12	746	77	36	5																	
225k	\$73,955.53		278,913	\$213,436.00	4.043	12		78	36	5							_										
250k	\$80,582.74				4.001				36	6																	
275k	\$80,886.48			\$262,810.00	3.948				36																		
300k	\$84,523.33			\$288,439.00	3.916			78	36				. 1														
325k	\$77,300.93				3.886			79	36																		
350k	\$76,866.06			\$338,258.00	3.852				36	-																	
375k	\$71,505.45				3.829				36				-														
400k	\$71,058.91			\$388,659.00	3.800			77	36																		
425k	\$59,992.25			\$413,116.00	3.792				36																		
450k	\$60,162.97				3.795 3.819	10																					
484k 484k+	\$88,347.43			\$469,247.00 \$565,093.00	3.819			75	36																		

Exhibit 4

### **Geo Rankings**

In recent months, demand for Geo pools - specifically NY, TX and FL - has increased, and in response to stronger pay-ups, we have witnessed an increase in issuance (we wrote about this is in our Aug edition and presented the heatmap along the State cut). Here, we revisit this heatmap – Exhibit 5 - to verify the performance in the three Geo cuts mentioned above and note that the pay-ups and issuance continue to appear to be justified. Outside of the NY, TX & FL stories, one item of note from Exhibit 5 is that combined UPB of CA and CO has picked up ~3% additional share over the course of the 12-month period illustrated, with the offsetting deficit spread out across the rest. This is likely a reflection of the home price increases and a larger share of refinances.

Population cut		Measure		Absolute BCPR matrix is the entity's BCPR for a particular population cut BCPR3 Result														UPB %								
Top States	~	Absolute 💙	<ul> <li>Absolute UPB mat book</li> </ul>	rix highlights how	much UP8	a population	cut contribu	ites to thei		12	12	18 20	22	26				844								8 8
Orig Am Cut (\$ MM)	ount	Current UPB (\$ MM)	Loan Count	Avg OLS	WAC	WALA	FICO	LTV	DTI	Oct 19		Dec 19		Mar 20	Apr 20 May 20	Jun 20	Jul 20	Aug 20 Sep 20	Oct 19	Nov 19	Jan 20	Feb 20 Mar 20	Apr 20		Jul 20	
AZ	\$44,771	\$31,632	132,139	\$250,980	3.977	10	749	78	35																	
CA	\$230,626	\$162,993	459,637	\$369,244	3.835	10	751	69	37														1			
co	\$54,073	\$38,018	125,731	\$313,819	3,858	10	754	75	35																	
FL	\$70,460	\$56,802	249,034	\$237,550	4.123	12	744	80	37																	
GA	\$32,933	\$25,797	7 109,405	\$246,635	3.958	11	749	80	35																	
IL	\$33,175	\$23,985	5 112,920	\$228,276	3.931	11	752	80	35																	
MA	\$30,228	\$21,829	9 70,087	\$325,933	3.852	11	750	74	35																	
MD	\$24,259	\$18,599	64,481	\$301,878	3.922	S 11	753	81	35																	
ML	\$26,268	\$19,101	1 101,884	\$200,326	3.964	11	748	79	34																	
MN	\$25,890	\$19,958	85,459	\$244,239	3.862	11	754	81	34																	
мо	\$15,973	\$11,62	61,246	\$203,830	3.895	11	752	80	33																	
NC	\$33,842	\$25,971	113,253	\$241,162	3.925	11	753	80	34				1													
NJ	\$30,110	\$23,115	\$ 81,588	\$296,364	3,953	12	748	77	37																	
NY	\$32,241	\$27,132	95,129	\$296,838	4.087	14	746	74	38																	
он	\$19,388	\$14,926	5 87,008	\$183,745	4.036	12	747	82	34																	
PA	\$25,379	\$19,909	92,554	\$227,604	3.996	13	752	81	34																	
тх	\$76,474	\$60,750	256,153	\$247,816	4.046	12	744	80	36																	
VA	\$33,217	\$25,212	89,193	\$297,383	3.883	11	757	79	35																	
WA	\$52,778	\$38,638	122,783	\$327,188	3.906	10	751	75	36																	
WI	\$19,226	\$14,140	73,282	\$205,303	3.829	10	752	80	33																	



## Data Dynamics®



In Exhibit 6, we look at the rest of the states in a framework that compares their speeds to the overall Cohort. The BCPR3 data from the heatmap in Exhibit 5 is compared to the relevant month's BCPR3 for the overall Fannie Mae Cohort. Even after excluding the known Geo stories (NY, FL, TX) the range of performance relative to cohort is fairly wide and we see a significant relationship to AOLS per the trendline in Exhibit 7. It is of note that AZ shows up as the biggest outlier in this relationship.

Ī		Orig	Current																			
		Amount	UPB	Loan							19-Oct	19-Nov	19-Dec	20-Jan	20-Feb	20-Mar	20-Apr	20-May	20-Jun	20-Jul	20-Aug	20-Sep
	Cut	(\$ MM)	(\$ MM)	Count	Avg OLS	WAC	WALA	FICO	LTV	DTI												
	AZ	44,771	31,632	132,139	\$250,980	3.977	10	749	78	35	134%	123%	126%	127%	126%	122%	117%	116%	116%	118%	120%	120%
	CA	230,626	162,993	459,637	\$369,244	3.835	10	751	69	37	144%	129%	133%	134%	137%	132%	122%	114%	111%	115%	122%	125%
	0	54,073	38,018	125,731	\$313,819	3.858	10	754	75	35	144%	130%	132%	130%	130%	130%	127%	123%	120%	119%	120%	119%
$\subset$	FL	70,460	56,802	249,034	\$237,550	4.123	12	744	80	37	66%	61%	66%	72%	76%	72%	71%	73%	75%	76%	76%	75%
	GA	32,933	25,797	109,405	\$246,635	3.958	11	749	80	35	86%	81%	82%	83%	84%	88%	92%	94%	94%	91%	89%	86%
	IL	33,175	23,985	112,920	\$228,276	3.931	11	752	80	35	116%	105%	107%	102%	100%	104%	111%	113%	113%	109%	105%	102%
[	MA	30,228	21,829	70,087	\$325,933	3.852	11	750	74	35	139%	122%	121%	111%	105%	109%	112%	114%	112%	108%	103%	102%
[	MD	24,259	18,600	64,481	\$301,878	3.922	11	753	81	35	103%	90%	90%	88%	89%	90%	91%	93%	93%	92%	91%	92%
	MI	26,268	19,101	101,884	\$200,326	3.964	11	748	79	34	120%	107%	108%	106%	107%	112%	115%	115%	111%	106%	101%	100%
	MN	25,890	19,958	85,459	\$244,239	3.862	11	754	81	34	109%	96%	94%	87%	83%	90%	98%	103%	102%	97%	90%	86%
	MO	15,973	11,623	61,246	\$203,830	3.895	11	752	80	33	122%	104%	105%	97%	97%	104%	114%	118%	115%	109%	102%	99%
	NC	33,842	25,971	113,253	\$241,162	3.925	11	753	80	34	97%	87%	89%	88%	87%	86%	89%	92%	95%	95%	95%	94%
	NJ	30,110	23,115	81,588	\$296,364	3.953	12	748	77	37	100%	92%	91%	90%	89%	90%	89%	89%	88%	87%	88%	89%
		32,241	27,132	95,129	\$296,838	4.087	14	746	74	38	56%	54%	58%	62%	60%	52%	48%	50%	53%	57%	57%	56%
[	ОН	19,388	14,926	87,008	\$183,745	4.036	12	747	82	34	86%	78%	80%	79%	79%	81%	86%	91%	91%	89%	86%	85%
	PA	25,379	19,909	92,554	\$227,604	3.996	13	752	81	34	89%	78%	78%	74%	70%	69%	74%	80%	84%	82%	80%	79%
		76,474	60,750	256,153	\$247,816	4.046	12	744	80	36	75%	66%	69%	72%	77%	77%	79%	82%	85%	88%	86%	84%
	VA	33,217	25,212	89,193	\$297,383	3.883	11	757	79	35	100%	88%	90%	88%	91%	94%	96%	96%	96%	96%	98%	102%
[	WA	52,778	38,638	122,783	\$327,188	3.906	10	751	75	36	121%	113%	115%	116%	113%	111%	109%	110%	109%	110%	109%	109%
	WI	19,226	14,140	73,282	\$205,303	3.829	10	752	80	33	126%	110%	106%	98%	96%	103%	113%	118%	120%	112%	103%	95%



Exhibit 6

### Data Dynamics®



**Additional Resources** 

#### **Contact Us**

For questions, please contact the Fannie Mae Investor Help Line at 1-800-232-6643, Option 3 or by e-mail.

#### Resources

Benchmark CPR Dashboard Benchmark CPR Video Tutorial Benchmark CPR Methodology Overview Data Dynamics Webpage

#### **Stay Connected**

#### Sign up for news, commentaries, and announcements

This commentary is provided by Fannie Mae solely for informational purposes based on information available at the time it is published. This document is based upon information and assumptions (including financial, statistical or historical data and computations based upon such data) that we consider reliable and reasonable, but we do not represent that such information, assumptions, data, or computations are accurate or complete, or appropriate or useful in any particular context, including the context of any investment decision, and it should not be relied upon as such. It is subject to change without notice. Fannie Mae disclaims any responsibility for updating the commentary or the opinions or information discussed herein. The opinions presented in the commentary represent the views of professionals employed by Fannie Mae of certain factors that may impact the performance of certain loans in Connecticut Avenue Securities reference pools. The effect of factors other than those assumed, including factors not mentioned, considered or foreseen, by themselves or in conjunction with other factors, could produce dramatically different performance or results. Statements in this commentary regarding the future impact of data quality improvements are forward-looking, and actual results may be materially different due to, among other reasons, those described in "risk factors" in our most recent Form 10-K and Form 10-Q. Fannie Mae does not represent that such views are the sole or most accurate explanations for loan performance or that there are not credible alternative views or opinions. Fannie Mae publishes this commentary as a service to interested parties and disclaims any liability for any errors contained herein. Fannie Mae securities are more fully described in applicable offering circulars, prospectuses, or supplements thereto (such applicable offering circulars, prospectuses and supplements, the "Offering Documentation"), which discuss certain investment risks and contain a more complete description of such securities. All statements made herein are qualified in their entirety by reference to the Offering Documentation. An offering only may be made through delivery of the Offering Documentation. Investors considering purchasing a Fannie Mae security should consult their own financial and legal advisors for information about such security, the risks and investment considerations arising from an investment in such security, the appropriate tools to analyze such investment, and the suitability of such investment in each investor's particular circumstances.